



ŧ



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/147,052		04/05/1999	SHUJI SAITOH	981167	1182
23850	7590	07/16/2002			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP				EXAMINER	
1725 K STR	EET, NV	V.	HINES, JANA A		
SUITE 1000					
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1645	13
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/147,052	SAITOH ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ja-Na A Hines	1645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperties the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>19 April 2002</u> . Appo 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	ellant's Brief must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set forth f the appeal.	ı in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: 20-26.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		1	
	•		
	M/ PRIM	ARK NAVARRO MARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuati n Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicati n No. 09/147,052

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises issues of new matter and such amendments require further consideration annund search, therefore the amendment will not be entered. Newly amended claims 25-26 are now drawn to DNA comprising a first DNA sequence from M.gallisepticum and a second DNA sequence from a Marek's gene, however the claims do not define the DNA sequences. Therefore it appears that the claims fails to particularly describe the specific DNA sequences. Moreover, applicants have not pointed to by page and line number support for the newly claimed DNA sequences or support that an avipox virus comprising said DNA will be effective as a recombinant live vaccine. Therefore the claims appear incorporate new matter requiring further search and consideration, thus the amendment will not be entered.